# Notifiable incident

| | |
|---|---|
| **Incident ID** | 5596 |
| **Duty holder:** | INPEX Operations Australia Pty Ltd |
| **Facility/Activity:** | Ichthys Venturer |
| **Facility type:** | Floating production storage and offloading facility |

| **Incident details** | |
|---|---|
| **Division** | Occupational Health and Safety |
| **Notification type** | Incident |
| **Incident date** | 26/09/2018 12:13 PM (WST) |
| **Notification date** | 26/09/2018 12:56 PM (WST) |
| **NOPSEMA response date** | 26/09/2018 01:59 PM (WST) |
| **Received by** | [redacted] |
| **Nearest state** | WA |
| **Initial category type** *(based on notification)* | Dangerous Occurrence |
| **Initial category** *(based on notification)* | Unplanned event - implement emergency response plan |
| **3 Day report received** | 29/09/2018 |
| **Final report received** | 29/09/2018 |
| **All required data received** | 29/09/2018 |
| **Final category type** *(based on final report)* | Dangerous Occurrence |
| **Final category** *(based on final report)* | Unplanned event - implement emergency response plan |
| **Brief description** | OHS - UPE - GA and Muster |
| **Location** | Accommodation and amenities |
| **Subtype/s** | Alarm, Muster |
| **Summary** *(at notification)* | Facility GA and muster following suspected fire in the galley.<br>CCTV showed no fire in galley.<br>ERP team achieved full muster then employed to investigate, no evidence of fire.<br>Occurred due to function testing of the galley hood fire suppression system. Bypass on confirmed package fire however alarm activated in hood and initiated the GA and muster.<br>Currently investigating. |
| **Details** *(from final report)* | Facility GA and muster following suspected fire in the galley.<br>CCTV showed no fire in galley.<br>ERP team achieved full muster then employed to investigate, no evidence of fire.<br>Occurred due to function testing of the galley hood fire suppression system. Bypass on confirmed package fire however alarm activated in hood and initiated the GA and muster.<br>Currently investigating.<br><br>At 12:13 WST on the 26 September 2018 the General Alarm (GA) sounded and people commenced mustering on the facility.<br>The alarm was indication of fire in Galley Hood Enclosure. CCTV confirmed no fire in Galley Hood Enclosure.<br>Facility mustered, all persons were accounted for. Emergency Response Team Leader was mobilised to investigate. There was no evidence of fire.<br>Function testing was being performed on the ANSUL galley hood extinguisher package. A bypass had been placed on the confirmed fire from package for the testing but not the confirmed release and this is what activated the GA.<br>Facility returned to normal status at 12:27 WST investigation commenced. |

| | |
|---|---|
| **Immediate cause/s** | Occurred due to function testing of the galley hood fire suppression system. Bypass on confirmed package fire however alarm activated in hood and initiated the GA and muster.<br><br>Function testing was being performed on the ANSUL Galley Hood extinguisher package. A bypass had been placed on the confirmed fire from package for the testing but not the confirmed release and this is what activated the GA. |
| **Root cause/s** | HPD - TRAINING - Understanding NI - instruction NI |
| **Root cause description** | Correct bypass not applied for function testing of ANSUL galley hood fire extinguisher package. Function testing was being performed on the ANSUL galley hood fire extinguisher package. A bypass had been placed on the confirmed fire from package for the testing but not the confirmed release and this is what activated the GA. Correct bypasses applied and function tested completed. |

| **Duty inspector recommendation** | |
|---|---|
| **Date** | 26/09/2018 |
| **Duty inspector** | [redacted] |
| **Recommendation** | Do not conduct Major Investigation |
| **Reasoning** | Does not meet MI threshold based on information received |
| **Supporting considerations** | Awaiting three day report. |

| **Major investigation decision** | |
|---|---|
| **Date** | 27/09/2018 |
| **Decision** | Do not conduct Major Investigation |
| **Reasoning** | Does not meet MI threshold based on information received |
| **Supporting considerations** | |

| **Non-major investigation review and recommendation** | |
|---|---|
| **Date** | 28/09/2018 |
| **Inspector** | [redacted] |
| **Risk gap** | None |
| **Type of standard** | Established |
| **Initial strategy** | Inclusion in annual stats/data analysis |

| **Recommended follow up strategy** | |
|---|---|
| **Recommended strategy** | Inclusion in annual report stats / data analysis |
| **Supporting considerations** | Consequences - no credible consequences from this occurrence. Likelihood is unchanged, therefore no risk gap. Established standards - as per scope of validation. Relevant incident history - there have been a number of these false activations across different fire and gas detection systems at the facility during the commissioning period. |

| **Non-major investigation decision** | |
|---|---|
| **Date** | 28/09/2018 |
| **RoN** | [redacted] |
| **RoN review result** | Agree with recommendation |
| **Strategy decision** | Inclusion in annual report stats / data analysis |
| **Supporting considerations** | Agreed. |

| **Associated inspection** | |
|---|---|
| **Inspection ID** | |