

Australia's offshore energy regulator

Recent history.....

What Did We Learn? And where does that leave us??

Derrick O'Keeffe Head of Division, Safety & Well Integrity

SPE – Perth

6 December 2022

A886169

nopsema.gov.au



Today's Discussion

Setting the scene

All about people

Interesting Lessons

All about wells

Our Future



Setting the scene



Australia's offshore energy regulator

Building LNG Export Capacity







Increasing asset base





Decommissioning





Discoveries 2020





February 2022





May 2022 – New Federal Government









Mid pandemic **Pre pandemic** Are we back to a pre pandemic world? Where are we **December** now? 2022 Or are we in new post pandemic world?



IRF – Bench strength



SCFA-A Preventing MAEs

Overview of Key Areas of Strategic Focus







Asset Integrity & Maintenance

Human Factors

All about people



Australia's offshore energy regulator

Back to the future

















Surviving the next crisis: Building positive mental health

Derrick O'Keeffe – Head of Safety & Well Integrity

NOPSEMA - National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority
Australia's offshore energy regulator





Society of Petroleum Engineers Distinguished Lecturer Program www.spe.org/dl

Understanding complex risks: "Bow-tie" model





Mental Health: Bow-tie



Causes "Psychosocial Hazards" Impacts to High-risk and Likelihood and Severity performance demanding work Impacts to Isolation concentration Prevention Mitigation Long working Increased human hours Controls Controls error likelihood Psychosocial Offshore living Risk Increased Major and sleeping Accident Event risk Physical injury Awkward roster design Psychological injury Changes to work schedules Consequences and extended "Psychosocial Harm" swings Build defence in depth

with layered controls

21



HSR Forum 2022 Melbourne

Supporting Health and Safety Representatives in Australia's offshore energy industry







Interesting lessons



Australia's offshore energy regulator

Metrics – Australia offshore

Injuries - Total recordable cases (TRCs)



ADIs (alternative duties injuries) and MTIs (medical treatment injuries)



Total recordable cases (TRCs) - injury rates



Note: Total recordable cases is the sum of fatalities, major injuries, LTIs (lost time injuries), ADIs (alternative duties injuries) and MTIs (medical treatment injuries)

Increasing complexity





Asset integrity - Platforms







Asset integrity - FPSO





Increased communication



Leadership, commitment, and responsibility

NOPSEMA is implementing a program where we collect and review information relating to the degree to which senior executives and boards have sufficient oversight of, and accountability for, the control of major accident hazards.

Research in high hazard industries such as oil and gas reinforce the substantial influence incentivised target-setting and organisational reporting lines have on the proper identification, reporting and oversight of safety and environmental risks.

NOPSEMA expects senior executives and, where relevant, their boards to have oversight of the safety and environmental risks to their facilities and activities, to be capable of understanding the risks and to be accountable for ensuring controls are in place to effectively manage the risks.

Most importantly, NOPSEMA expects senior executives to manage the long-term lowlikelihood, but high consequence risks to their facilities, in addition to any other operating or financial performance required.

Through its compliance monitoring activities, NOPSEMA has identified issues within the industry at an operational level that may have roots at the executive level. For example

 decisions made prioritising projects that will increase production over routine or campaign maintenance activities leading to unacceptable levels of corrosion and degradation workforce reluctance to raise safety issues or 'stop the job' over safety concerns REVENTING MAJOF

- management of change processes routinely misused to manage risks to the company rather than risks to the workforce and environment
- failure to address NOPSEMA's inspection findings until faced with the prospect of escalated enforcement action
- significant job or operating budget cuts without due regard for work re-prioritisation, deferred maintenance, OHS and process safety
- lack of support for the Health and Safety Representative role.

The examples above are potential symptoms of organisational structures and incentive systems that prioritise the mitigation of financial risk over safety and environmental risk.

NOPSEMA plans to review corporate scorecards and target setting for key personnel, transparency and visibility to senior management of relevant performance indicators, and the status of internal governance and oversight of operations responsible for safety and environmental management. Results from these reviews will be shared with company Chief Executives or equivalents and responses sought. **DrillSafe**





DrillWell



PETROLEUM SAFETY AUTHORITY NORWAY



All about wells



Australia's offshore energy regulator

Expanding Responsibilities





- Offshore Renewable Energy
- Financial Assurance
- Changes to Legislation / Regulations
- Future external factors

- Executive Oversight & Accountability
- Ageing Assets / Decommissioning
- Human Factors

2022 – within the industry

IOGP Guidance on PPFG Analysis





Pore pressure (PP) analysis

 The study of how pressure in rock pores varies with depth

Fracture gradient (FG) analysis

 Prediction of the pressures required to fracture the formation

What's the purpose of the guidance?

Summary of methods and good practices for PPFG analysis and real time PP monitoring

A tool to help teams involved in generating and using PPFG predictions:

- Ensure a rigorous approach
- Improve understanding and communication

Well breakdown





Regulator's perspective





Abandonments per year

Well Inspections



Problem Statement

Australia's Well Decommissioning Challenge

Going forward –



Current operating wells





The backlog of unused wells



Future Wells



Reference: ISO 16530-1

Well integrity life cycle phases



Targets for Well Decommissioning

All new and revised permissioning documents address decommissioning requirements

All wells risk assessed and have accepted abandonment plans in place

operational

SPE Symposium – November 2022, Perth

Breakout session

Decommissioning in the fictitious offshore basin – Coco-Palm in Oceanesia

Top 3 issues: Cost, local resources, and alignment on outcome **Top 3 opportunities:** Proactive planning, industry wide plan and best practice legislation

Our Future

Executive Oversight – An Evolving Process

- Often responsive to incidents
- Ability to establish key insights to organisational structural issues
- Effective where duty holder executive leadership is required to implement change
- Aim to become more proactive over time

Future Focus Areas

Living with

Adapting to a new normal

while remaining vigilant

COVID-19

Leadership, commitment, and responsibility

OPSEMA is implementing a program where we collect and review information relating to the degree to which senior executives and boards have sufficient oversight of, and accountability for, the control of major accident hazards.

Research in high hazard industries such as oil and gas reinforce the substantial influence incentivised target-setting and organisational reporting lines have on the proper identification, reporting and oversight of safety and environmental risks.

NOPSEMA expects senior executives and, where relevant, their boards to have oversight of the safety and environmental risks to their facilities and activities, to be capable of understanding the risks and to be accountable for ensuring controls are in place to effectively manage the risks.

Most importantly, NOPSEMA expects senior executives to manage the long-term lowlikelihood, but high consequence risks to their facilities, in addition to any other operating or financial performance required.

Through its compliance monitoring activities, NOPSEMA has identified issues within the industry at an operational level that may have roots at the executive level. For example

 decisions made prioritising projects that will increase production over routine or campaign maintenance activities leading to unacceptable levels of corrosion and degradation

- workforce reluctance to raise safety issues or 'stop the job' over safety concerns
- management of change processes routinely misused to manage risks to the company rather than risks to the workforce and environment
- failure to address NOPSEMA's inspection findings until faced with the prospect of escalated enforcement action
- significant job or operating budget cuts without due regard for work re-prioritisation, deferred maintenance, OHS and process safety
- lack of support for the Health and Safety Representative role.

The examples above are potential symptoms of organisational structures and incentive systems that prioritise the mitigation of financial risk over safety and environmental risk.

NOPSEMA plans to review corporate scorecards and target setting for key personnel, transparency and visibility to senior management of relevant performance indicators, and the status of internal governance and oversight of operations responsible for safety and environmental management. Results from these reviews will be shared with company Chief Executives or equivalents and responses sought.

NOPSEMA takes on new role

Guidance available for hazard identification and risk assessment

> Securing approvals for end states

Questions?

National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority

Level 8 Alluvion, 58 Mounts Bay Rd, Perth WA 6000 GPO Box 2568, Perth WA 6001 Australia

nopsema.gov.au

Australia's offshore energy regulator

